arXiv:1602.00578v1 [quant-ph] 1 Feb 2016

# Concise configuration interaction expansions for three fermions in six orbitals

Alex D. Gottlieb* and Norbert J. Mauser
*Wolfgang Pauli Institute c/o Fakultät für Mathematik,*
*Universität Wien, Oskar-Morgenstern Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria*

J. M. Zhang†
*College of Physics and Energy, Fujian Normal University,*
*Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Manipulation*
*and New Energy Materials, Fuzhou, 350117, China and*
*Fujian Provincial Collaborative Innovation Center for Optoelectronic*
*Semiconductors and Efficient Devices, Xiamen, 361005, China*

(Dated: February 2, 2016)

The Hilbert space for three fermions in six orbitals, lately dubbed the "Borland-Dennis setting," is a proving ground for insights into electronic structure. Borland and Dennis discovered that, when referred to coordinate systems defined in terms of its natural orbitals, a wave function in the Borland-Dennis setting has the same structure as a 3-qubit state. By dint of the Borland-Dennis Theorem, canonical forms for 3-qubit states have analogs in the Borland-Dennis setting.

One of these canonical forms is based upon "max-overlap Slater determinant approximations." Any max-overlap Slater determinant approximation of a given wave function is the leading term in a 5-term configuration interaction (CI) expansion of that wave function. Our main result is that "max-overlap CIS approximations" also lead to 5-term CI expansions, distinct from those based on max-overlap Slater determinant approximations, though of the same symmetric shape. We also prove the analog of this result for 3-qubit setting.

* alex@alexgottlieb.com
† wdlang06@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Hilbert space for three fermions in six orbitals has lately been dubbed the "Borland-Dennis setting" [1, 2]. The founding contribution of Borland and Dennis was their discovery that every wave function in this Hilbert space has a tidy configuration interaction (CI) expansion with respect to its natural orbitals, and that this entails constraints on its natural occupation numbers [3, 4]. The Borland-Dennis setting is a proving ground for insights into electronic structure because it is the smallest "nontrivial" many-fermion Hilbert space in some sense.

As a vector space, the Borland-Dennis space is isomorphic to $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$, the space of alternating 3-tensors on $\mathbb{C}^6$. Hilbert space geometry is imposed on $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ by declaring the decomposable tensors $e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k$ with $i < j < k$ to be orthonormal, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_6\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$.

Every vector in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ can be written as a linear combination of the twenty basis vectors $e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k$; this is its CI expansion with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_6\}$ of "reference" orbitals. A different orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$ would yield a different CI expansion; changes-of-basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$ induce changes-of-basis of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. Thus the group U(6) of $6 \times 6$ unitary matrices acts on the 20-dimensional vector space of CI expansion coefficients. Recently, Chen, Đoković, Grassl, and Zeng have presented a complete set of algebraic invariants for this action of U(6) on the Borland-Dennis space [5].

The Borland-Dennis setting contains an isometric image of the 3-qubit Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ via the embeddding

$$\begin{aligned}
|000\rangle &\longmapsto e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_5, \quad |111\rangle \longmapsto e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_6 \\
|100\rangle &\longmapsto e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_5, \quad |011\rangle \longmapsto e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_6 \\
|010\rangle &\longmapsto e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_5, \quad |101\rangle \longmapsto e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_6 \\
|001\rangle &\longmapsto e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_6, \quad |110\rangle \longmapsto e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

What Borland and Dennis discovered is that every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is U(6)-equivalent to one in the image of the embedding (1).

By dint of the Borland-Dennis Theorem, algebraic results for the 3-qubit setting can be transferred to the Borland-Dennis setting, and *vice versa* [5]. For example, every 3-qubit wave function is equivalent, under "local" unitary transformations of 1-qubit Hilbert spaces, to one that can be written as a linear combination of $|000\rangle, |001\rangle, |010\rangle, |100\rangle$, and $|111\rangle$ [6, 7]. Analogously, every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is U(6)-equivalent to a linear combination of the five configurations that correspond via (1) to these five 3-qubit product states. Chen *et al.* [5] have shown how to standardize these 5-term CI expansions to define a "canonical form" for representatives of U(6) equivalence classes.

This canonical form for wave functions $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ can be obtained from their "max-overlap" Slater determinant approximations. A max-overlap Slater determinant approximation of $\psi$ is a Slater determinant wave function $\chi_* = f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ such that $\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$ is greater than or equal to the overlap $\left|\langle \chi, \psi \rangle\right|$ between $\psi$ and any other Slater determinant $\chi$. Any max-overlap Slater determinant approximation of $\psi$ is the principal configuration in a 5-term CI expansion for $\psi$ that is U(6)-equivalent to its canonical form.

Our main result is that every wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ also has a 5-term CI expansion based upon any of its "max-overlap CIS approximations."

A wave function in the Borland-Dennis space is "CIS" if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ and coefficients $A, B_1, B_2, B_3$ such that

$$\psi \;=\; A\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_1\; g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_2\; f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_3\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3\;. \tag{2}$$

It turns out that a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is CIS if and only if its "hyperdeterminant" is 0.

The structure of the CI expansion (2) may be easier to see when it is displayed schematically as follows:

| $f_1$ | $f_2$ | $f_3$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | $g_3$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $A$ |
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $B_1$ |
| X | O | X | O | X | O | $-B_2$ |
| X | X | O | O | O | X | $B_3$ |

(3)

We will often use such "configuration diagrams" in this article. The topmost row of the diagram specifies the orthonormal system of orbitals that configurations are built from. Each row beneath this header represents a configuration and a coefficient. For example, the second-to-last row denotes the term $-B_2\; f_1 \wedge f_3 \wedge g_2 = B_2\; f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3$. The diagram as a whole represents the sum of the terms corresponding to its configuration rows, which may be listed in any order.

Here is our main result. Suppose $\chi_*$ is a CIS wave function of maximum overlap with $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ with canonical form (3). Then there exists a complex number $D$ such that $\psi$ has the CI expansion

| $f_1$ | $f_2$ | $f_3$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | $g_3$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $sA$ |
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $sB_1$ |
| X | O | X | O | X | O | $-sB_2$ |
| X | X | O | O | O | X | $sB_3$ |
| O | O | O | X | X | X | $D$ |

with $s = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$. An analogous result also holds in the 3-qubit setting.

The rest of this article is organized as follows:


Section II: Notation, terminology, and lemmas
Section III: The algebraic invariants
Section IV: Small classes of Borland-Dennis wave functions
Section V: "CIS" and "CID" wave functions
Section VI: Max-overlap approximations
Section VII: The Borland-Dennis Theorem
Section VIII: The 5-term canonical forms
Section IX: 5-term expansions based on max-overlap CIS approximations
Appendix: Another proof of the Borland-Dennis Theorem

Sections II - VI contain background: definitions, lemmas, and other elements that will be required in the last three sections. Sections VII and VIII review the Borland-Dennis Theorem and the "canonical" 5-term CI expansions. Section IX presents our main results about 5-term CI expansions based upon max-overlap CIS approximations and the analog of this result for the 3-qubit setting. Finally, a hands-on proof of the Borland-Dennis Theorem is posted in the appendix.

## II. NOTATION, TERMINOLOGY, AND LEMMAS

### A. Terminology and notation

To describe $n$-fermion states, we shall use some of the notation and terminology of exterior algebra [8, 9] alongside the language of quantum physics and quantum chemistry [10].

When we refer to a vector in any Hilbert space as a "wave function," we mean that it is normalized. "Orbitals" are wave functions in the "1-particle Hilbert space." In this article, the 1-particle Hilbert spaces of interest will mainly be isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^d$ with the standard inner-product, and the $n$-fermion Hilbert spaces will be isomorphic to spaces $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$ of alternating $n$-tensors. In particular, the Hilbert space for three fermions in six orbitals is isomorphic to $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. We often call this the Borland-Dennis space.

Elements of a vector space $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$ are sometimes called "multivectors." We may call them "bivectors" when $n = 2$ and "trivectors" when $n = 3$. A multivector in $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$ is "decomposable" if it can be written as a simple alternating product $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n$ of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^d$.

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$. Hilbert space geometry is imposed on $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$ by declaring the multivectors $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n \leq d$ to be orthonormal. This implies the following general formula for the inner product of two decomposable multivectors:

$$\big\langle f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n,\ g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_n \big\rangle \ = \ \det\big(\langle f_i, g_j\rangle\big)_{i,j=1}^{n}.$$

When $f_1 \ldots, f_n$ are orthonormal orbitals in $\mathbb{C}^d$, the decomposable multivector $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$ is a unit vector in $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$, called a "Slater determinant." If $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_d)$ is an ordered orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$, then the set $\big\{g_{i_1} \wedge g_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{i_n} :\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_n \leq d\big\}$ of Slater determinants is an orthonormal basis of $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$. We will refer the elements of this basis set as "configurations" in the "reference orbitals" $(g_1, \ldots, g_d)$. Any wave function in $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^d$ can be written as a linear combination of configurations in the reference orbitals. This representation of a wave function is known as its "configuration interaction expansion" or "CI expansion" with respect to those reference orbitals.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote a 1-particle Hilbert space. A wave function $\psi \in \wedge^n\mathcal{H}$ represents a pure $n$-fermion state with density matrix $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. The state determines, in particular, the answer to the question, "What is the probability that a given orbital would be found to be occupied, if an experiment to determine its occupation or vacancy were performed?" These probabilities are the diagonal matrix elements of the 1-particle reduced density matrix, or "1RDM" of $\psi$. The 1RDM $\Gamma$ of $\psi$ is the unique Hermitian operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle f, \Gamma f\rangle$ is the probability of occupation of the orbital $f$, for any orbital $f$. The 1RDM can be derived from $\psi$ by taking a partial trace of the associated density

matrix and normalizing it to have trace $n$, that is,

$$\Gamma \;=\; n\ \mathrm{Tr}_{2,\ldots,n}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|.$$

Eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the 1RDM derived from $\psi$ are called "natural orbitals" of $\psi$ and "natural occupation numbers" of $\psi$, respectively.

We shall use the following notation for subspaces of $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$:

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, we identify $\wedge^n\mathcal{V}$ with the subspace span $\{v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n:\ v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n\in\mathcal{V}\}$ of $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$. If $\mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_2$ are orthogonal subspaces of $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$, we identify $\mathfrak{F}_1\oplus\mathfrak{F}_2$ and $\mathfrak{F}_1\wedge\mathfrak{F}_2$ with the subspaces

$$\mathrm{span}\,\{\psi_1+\psi_2:\ \psi_1\in\mathfrak{F}_1,\psi_2\in\mathfrak{F}_2\}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathrm{span}\,\{\psi_1\wedge\psi_2:\ \psi_1\in\mathfrak{F}_1,\psi_2\in\mathfrak{F}_2\}$$

of $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

Two multivectors $\phi_1\in\wedge^{n_1}\mathcal{H}$ and $\phi_2\in\wedge^{n_2}\mathcal{H}$ are said to be "strongly orthogonal" if there exist orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi_1\in\wedge^{n_1}\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\phi_2\in\wedge^{n_2}\mathcal{V}_2$. The "rank" of an $n$-fermion wave function $\psi\in\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$ is the least $m$ such that $\psi$ belongs to a subspace of the form $\wedge^n\mathcal{M}$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is an $m$-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. The rank of a wave function $\psi$ equals the matrix rank of its 1RDM.

### B. Basic lemmas

Let $\psi$ be a wave function in a many-fermion Hilbert space $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$. Orthonormal orbitals $u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n$ are called "best-overlap orbitals" [11] for $\psi$ if the overlap $\big|\langle u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge u_n,\psi\rangle\big|$ between $\psi$ and the Slater determinant $u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge u_n$ is greater than or equal to the overlap $\big|\langle\chi,\psi\rangle\big|$ between $\psi$ and any other Slater determinant $\chi$.

The following lemma expresses the fact that best-overlap orbitals satisfy the "Brueckner conditions" [11, 12]. Though we state and prove the lemma for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a countably infinite orthonormal basis, the result holds for Hilbert spaces of any dimension.

**Lemma 1.** *Let $(u_1,u_2,\ldots)$ denote an ordered orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and suppose that $u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n$ are best-overlap orbitals for a wave function $\psi\in\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$. If $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and $\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\}$ have exactly $n-1$ members in common, then $\langle u_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{i_n},\psi\rangle=0$.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\psi\;=\;\sum_{j_1<\cdots<j_n}C_{j_1\cdots j_n}u_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{j_n}\ .$$

be the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the ordered orthonormal basis $(u_1,u_2,\ldots)$. As $u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge u_n$ is a best-overlap approximation of $\psi$, the coefficient $C_{1,2,\ldots,n}$ is nonzero; indeed, $|C_{1,2,\ldots,n}|^2$ is the maximum overlap-squared between $\psi$ and any Slater determinant.

Suppose that $\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\}$ with $i_1<\cdots<i_n$ has exactly $n-1$ members in common with $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Then $i_1<\cdots<i_{n-1}\le n$ and $i_n>n$. We will prove that $C_{i_1\cdots i_n}=0$.

The multivector $C_{1,\dots,n}u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n + C_{i_1\cdots i_n}u_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{i_n}$ is decomposable, because $u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n$ and $u_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{i_n}$ have $n-1$ wedge factors in common, and these may be factored out of the sum, producing a wedge product of $n$ orbitals. Let $\chi$ be a (normalized) wave function proportional to this decomposable multivector, so that $\chi$ is a Slater determinant. The overlap-squared $|\langle \chi, \psi \rangle|^2$ equals $|C_{1,\dots,n}|^2 + |C_{i_1\cdots i_n}|^2$. Since $|C_{1,\dots,n}|^2$ is the maximum possible overlap-squared between $\psi$ and any Slater determinant, $C_{i_1\cdots i_n} = \langle u_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{i_n}, \psi \rangle$ must equal 0. □

Lemma 1 means that the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the ordered orthonormal basis $(u_1, u_2, \dots)$ does not contain any configurations that are "single excitations" of $u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n$. This conclusion can also be restated in a coordinate-free manner. Let $\mathcal{R} = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and let $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ denote its orthogonal complement in $\mathcal{H}$. Lemma 1 says that $\psi$ is orthogonal to the subspace $\left(\wedge^{n-1}\mathcal{R}\right) \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$ of $\wedge^n\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 1 implies the following classical result, for which there are also other, more elementary, proofs [13].

**Lemma 2.** *Every multivector in $\wedge^n\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is decomposable.*

The following lemma follows from Lemma 1 [14]. It can also be proven by using the singular value decomposition [15, 16].

**Lemma 3.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote a Hilbert space of dimension at least 2 and let $\psi$ be a wave function in $\wedge^2\mathcal{H}$. There exists an orthonormal basis $\{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and a square summable sequence of nonnegative numbers $A_1 \geq A_2 \geq \cdots$ such that*

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_i A_i \; u_i \wedge v_i \;. \tag{4}$$

We will frequently use the following two special cases of Lemma 3:

**Lemma 4.** *For every bivector $\gamma \in \wedge^2\mathbb{C}^4$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^4$ and coefficients $A_1, A_2$ such that $\gamma = A_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 u_2 \wedge v_2$.*

**Lemma 5.** *For every bivector $\gamma \in \wedge^2\mathbb{C}^5$, there exist orthonormal orbitals $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^5$ and coefficients $A_1, A_2$ such that $\gamma = A_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 u_2 \wedge v_2$.*

The next lemma can be deduced from Lemma 5 by using the idea of particle-hole duality, but we deduce it directly from Lemma 1.

**Lemma 6.** *For every trivector $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^5$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{w, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^5$ and coefficients $A_1, A_2$ such that*

$$\psi = A_1 \; w \wedge u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 \; w \wedge u_2 \wedge v_2 \;. \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $\chi_* = w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3$ is a best-overlap Slater determinant for $\psi$ and let $u_2$ and $v_2$ be two other orbitals such that $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, u_2, v_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^5$. Consider the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to this basis. By Lemma 1, the six configurations like $w_2 \wedge w_3 \wedge u_2$

that are "singly-excited" from $\chi_*$ do not appear in the CI expansion, that is, the coefficients of these configurations are 0. The CI expansion involves only the configuration $w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3$ and its three double excitations. These double excitations have the common factor $u_2 \wedge v_2$, which may be factored out. Thus, there exists an orbital $w \in \mathrm{span}\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and a coefficient $A_2$ such that

$$\psi \;=\; \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle \chi_* \;+\; A_2 \; w \wedge u_2 \wedge v_2 \;.$$

Let $u_1$ and $v_1$ be orbitals such that $\{w, u_1, v_1\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathrm{span}\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. Then there is a complex number $e^{i\theta}$ such that $\chi_* \;=\; e^{i\theta} \; w \wedge u_1 \wedge v_1$, and $\psi$ is as written in (5) with $A_1 = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle e^{i\theta}$. □

The next lemma, which we require in order to prove Lemma 8, follows from Lemma 3.

**Lemma 7.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote a Hilbert space of dimension at least 2. A bivector $\gamma \in \wedge^2 \mathcal{H}$ is decomposable if and only if $\gamma \wedge \gamma = 0$.*

**Lemma 8.** *If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ are linearly independent, then there is a nonzero decomposable bivector in $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$.*

*Proof.* The case that $\gamma_1$ is decomposable is trivial, so let us assume that $\gamma_1$ is not decomposable. Let $\vec{e} \;=\; e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$ where $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}_4$, and let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_1 = A\vec{e}$, $\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 = B\vec{e}$, and $\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_2 = C\vec{e}$. By Lemma 7, $\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_1 \neq 0$; therefore, $A \neq 0$.

If $z$ is one of the roots of $Ax^2 + 2Bx + C$, then

$$(z\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \wedge (z\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = (Az^2 + 2Bz + C)\vec{e} = 0 \;,$$

and $z\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ is decomposable. Since $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are linearly independent, $z\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ is nonzero. □

### C. The SVD Lemma

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an $n$-dimensional subspace of a 1-particle Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ denote its orthogonal complement in $\mathcal{H}$. If $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{R}$, then the Slater determinant $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$ is a unit vector whose span is the 1-dimensional subspace $\wedge^n \mathcal{R}$ of the $n$-fermion Hilbert space $\wedge^n \mathcal{H}$. Slater determinants composed of $n-1$ orbitals from $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ and 1 orbital belonging to $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ are said to be "singly-excited" from the "reference" configuration $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$. The span of the reference configuration and all of its single-excitations is the subspace

$$\wedge^n \mathcal{R} \oplus \left( (\wedge^{n-1} \mathcal{R}) \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \right) \tag{6}$$

of $\wedge^n \mathcal{H}$. Wave functions that belong to a subspace like (6) are used as variational wave functions in the "CI singles" or "CIS" method. We therefore call such wave functions "CIS" wave functions.

Any $n$-fermion CIS wave function can be written as a superposition of the reference configuration and at most $n$ single-excitations thereof [17]. We prove this only for finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^d$ just so that we may apply the well-known singular value decomposition, or SVD, but the fact is true even for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

**Lemma 9** (SVD Lemma)**.** *Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d$ with $\dim \mathcal{R} = n$ and let $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ denote its orthogonal complement in $\mathbb{C}^d$. Let $m = \min\{n, d-n\}$ and suppose that $m \geq 1$. Suppose a wave function $\psi$ lies in the subspace (6) of $\wedge^n \mathbb{C}^d$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, orthonormal vectors $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathcal{R}^\perp$, and coefficients $A, B_1, \ldots, B_m$, such that*

$$\psi \;=\; A\; f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \;+\; \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_i\; f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i-1} \wedge g_i \wedge f_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \tag{7}$$

*Proof.* Let $\{f'_1, \ldots, f'_n\}$ and and $\{g'_1, g'_2, \ldots\}$ be orthonormal bases of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, respectively, and let

$$\alpha'_i = (-1)^{n-i} f'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f'_{i-1} \wedge f'_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f'_n \;.$$

There exist coefficients $C_{ik}$ such that

$$\psi \;=\; A\; f'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f'_n \;+\; \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{d-n} C_{ik}\; \alpha'_i \wedge g'_k. \tag{8}$$

Let $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ be unitary matrices for a singular value decomposition of the $n \times (d-n)$ matrix $\mathbf{C} = (C_{ik})$. That is, let $\mathbf{U} = (U_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V_{ij})$ be unitary matrices such that $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{C} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$, where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is the $n \times (d-n)$ "diagonal" matrix of singular values of $\mathbf{C}$. Denote the diagonal entries $\Lambda_{kk}$ of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ by $B_k$. Note that the rank of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is the same as the rank of $\mathbf{C}$, and therefore at most $m$ of the $B_k$ are nonzero. The matrices $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ can be chosen to make $\det(\mathbf{U}) = 1$, which we assume for convenience.

Define the orbitals $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ by the unitary transformation

$$f'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{ij} f_j \;.$$

Then $f'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f'_n = f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$ because $\det(\mathbf{U}) = 1$.

Define $\alpha_j = (-1)^{n-i} f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{j-1} \wedge f_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n$. Then $\alpha'_i$ can be written as a linear combination of the $\alpha_j$. The coefficient of $\alpha_j$ in this linear combination is the cofactor of the $(i,j)$ entry of the matrix $\mathbf{U}$, which equals the $(j,i)$ entry of the adjugate of $\mathbf{U}$. The adjugate of $\mathbf{U}$ equals $\mathbf{U}^*$ since $\mathbf{U}$ is unitary with $\det(\mathbf{U}) = 1$. Thus

$$\alpha'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{U}^*_{ji} \alpha_j \;. \tag{9}$$

Finally, define $g_1, \ldots, g_{d-n}$ by the unitary transformation

$$g'_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d-n} V_{k\ell} g_\ell \;. \tag{10}$$

Substituting (10) and (9) into (8) yields formula (7). ∎

It is convenient to have a statement of the SVD Lemma specifically for the Borland-Dennis setting:

**Lemma 10.** *Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ denote orthogonal 3-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^6$. Suppose that a wave function $\psi$ lies in the subspace*

$$\wedge^3 \mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \oplus \wedge^3 \mathcal{R}^\perp \tag{11}$$

*of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. Then there exist orthonormal bases $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, respectively, and coefficients $A, B_1, B_2, B_3, D$ such that*

$$\psi \;=\; A\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_1\; g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_2\; f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_3\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3 \;+\; D\; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3\;. \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of Lemma 9. One needs only to observe that the transformations in the proof of that lemma leave the 1-dimensional subspace $\wedge^3\mathcal{R}^\perp$ invariant. □

## III. ALGEBRAIC INVARIANTS

Let U(6) denote the group of $6 \times 6$ unitary matrices. Each $U \in \mathrm{U}(6)$ induces a unitary operator $\widehat{U}$ on $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ defined by

$$\widehat{U}(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3) \;=\; Ux_1 \wedge Ux_2 \wedge Ux_3$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{C}^6$. Thus U(6) acts on the Borland-Dennis space.

A wave function in the Borland-Dennis space has a variety of possible CI expansions, one for each ordered orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$. The possible CI expansions of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ are related to the orbit of $\psi$ under the action of U(6). Let $\sum C_{ijk}\; e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k$ be the CI expansion of a wave function $\phi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$. Then $\phi$ is in the U(6)-orbit of $\psi$ if and only if there exists an ordered orthonormal basis $(f_1, \ldots, f_6)$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\psi = \sum C_{ijk}\; f_i \wedge f_j \wedge f_k$.

Chen, Đoković, Grassl, and Zeng [5] have presented a complete set of algebraic invariants for the action of U(6) on the Borland-Dennis space. These invariants are polynomial functions of CI expansion coefficients that take the same value for for all possible choices of reference orbitals (the coefficients themselves change but certain polynomial functions of them are invariant). The polynomial algebra of invariants is generated by six independent invariants $M_1, \ldots, M_6$ and one auxiliary invariant, $M_7$, related to the others by a syzygy.

The algebraic invariant $M_1$ is numerically equal to the norm squared of the trivector. For normalized $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ with natural occupation numbers $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_6$, the algebraic invariants $M_2, M_4$ and $M_6$ are numerically equal to the elementary symmetric polynomials in $\lambda_1\lambda_6$, $\lambda_2\lambda_5$, and $\lambda_3\lambda_4$. The invariant $M_3$ depends on the 2RDM of $\psi$. A useful combination of these basic invariants is $M_1M_2 - 2M_3$, for this is non-negative and equals 0 at a wave function $\psi$ if and only if $\psi$ is not of full rank, i.e., has rank 5 or less [18].

The last independent invariant is related to Cayley's hyperdeterminant for $2 \times 2 \times 2$ matrices. It was rediscovered by Lévay and Vrana [19], who motivated it as a generalization of the 3-tangle [20], a measure of multipartite entanglement for 3-qubit states, and used it to distinguish between the major equivalence classes for the action of GL(6) on the Borland-Dennis space. The invariant,

denoted $M_5$ in Ref. [5], is non-negative, and it is positive at a wave function $\psi$ if and only if $\psi$ belongs to the generic GL(6) equivalence class. The invariant $M_5$ is the modulus-squared of the hyperdeterminant invariant denoted by $F$ in Ref. [5] or $\mathcal{D}$ in Refs. [21, 22]. When we refer to the hyperdeterminant of a wave function $\psi$, we mean $F(\psi)$ or $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$.

The U(6) invariants for the Borland-Dennis setting are very closely related [5, Sec. 5] to the local unitary invariants for the symmetrized 3-qubit setting [7, 23].

In this paper we are interested in CI expansions that can be obtained from one another by U(6) changes-of-basis, and accordingly the U(6) equivalence classes are of primary interest. To complete the picture, we should touch upon the effect of allowing general changes of 1-particle basis, and mention the equivalence classes of the action on $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ of GL(6,$\mathbb{C}$), the group of all invertible $6 \times 6$ complex matrices. By means of a general change of 1-particle basis, any nonzero trivector in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ can be written [24] in one of the following four canonical forms:

(i) $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$

(ii) $v_1 \wedge (v_2 \wedge v_3 + v_4 \wedge v_5)$

(iii) $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + v_3 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_5 + v_5 \wedge v_6 \wedge v_1$

(iv) $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + v_4 \wedge v_5 \wedge v_6$

where the vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_6$ are linearly independent but not necessarily orthonormal. These four GL(6,$\mathbb{C}$) equivalence classes portray – it is professed – the essentially different kinds of "entanglement" that a pure state of 3 fermions in 6 orbitals could have [19], and are now called, respectively, the "separable," "biseparable," "W," and "GHZ" classes [21].

Generic trivectors in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ have the canonical form (iv). Thus, every wave function of rank 6 or less can be written as a linear combination of at most three Slater determinants. However, these are not CI expansions, because the Slater determinants involved need not be built from a system of orthonormal orbitals. Generic wave functions in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ do not even have 4-term CI expansions, much less 3-term CI expansions [25, Prop. 10].

## IV. SMALL CLASSES OF BORLAND-DENNIS WAVE FUNCTIONS

### A. Types of CI expansions with 3 or fewer terms

Using Lemmas 2, 4, and 6, it is not difficult to show that a wave function that has a CI expansion with three or fewer configurations is U(6)-equivalent to one of the following five types. In labeling these types we follow Refs. [26] and [7], where the corresponding 3-qubit states are so classified:

**Type 1**

X X X O O O $\quad A$

**Type 2a**

X X X O O O $\quad A_1$
X O O X X O $\quad A_2$

**Type 2b**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $A$ |
| O | O | O | X | X | X | $C$ |

**Type 3a**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $B_1$ |
| X | O | X | O | X | O | $B_2$ |
| X | X | O | O | O | X | $B_3$ |

**Type 3b**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $A$ |
| O | O | X | X | X | O | $B$ |
| O | O | O | X | X | X | $C$ |

### B. Corresponding classes of wave functions

To each of the preceding five types of CI expansions there corresponds a class of wave functions, i.e., the set of wave functions that have CI expansions of that type with respect to some basis of reference orbitals. We use special names for the classes of wave functions that correspond to the first four types:

Type 1 – **Slater determinant** :

A wave function has a CI expansion of Type 1 if and only if it is a Slater determinant.

Type 2a – **Low-rank** :

Lemma 6 implies that a wave function has a CI expansion of Type 2a if and only if it has rank 5 or less. By definition, a trivector $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ has rank 5 or less if and only there exists a 5-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathcal{M}$. In this paper we call wave functions of rank 5 or less "low-rank."

The rank of a "low-rank" wave function is either 5 or 3, because a trivector in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ cannot have rank 4 by Lemma 2. Lemmas 4 and 6 imply that a trivector $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ has rank 5 or less if and only if there exists an orbital $w$ and a geminal $\gamma$, strongly orthogonal to $w$, such that $\psi = w \wedge \gamma$. In other words, a trivector $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ has rank 5 or less if and only there exists a 1-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\psi \in \mathcal{W} \wedge \left(\wedge^2 \mathcal{W}^\perp\right)$.

We shall call wave functions that have CI expansions of Type 2b "ortho-GHZ" because they are associated, via an embedding like (1), to 3-qubit states called "generalized GHZ states" in Ref. [26].

Type 3a – **Ortho-W** :

Wave functions that have CI expansions of Type 3a we shall call "ortho-W."

In other words, a wave function $\psi$ is ortho-W if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis of reference orbitals $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ and expansion coefficients $B_1, B_2, B_3$ such that

$$\psi \;=\; B_1\; g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 + B_2\; f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 + B_3\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3 \;. \tag{13}$$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$. The wave function (13) belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$. Conversely, suppose that a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to a subspace of the form $\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ are orthogonal 3-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^6$. Then Lemma 10 implies that $\psi$ has a CI expansion (13).

Thus we have an equivalent characterization of the class of ortho-W wave functions: a wave function is ortho-W if and only if it belongs to a subspace of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ of the form $\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$ for some $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}^6$ with $\dim \mathcal{R} = 3$.

Type 3b :

Though we don't have a special term for wave functions with CI expansions of Type 3b, these do constitute a distinct class. A wave function $\psi$ that has a CI expansion of Type 3b with $ABC \neq 0$ cannot have a CI expansion of one of the other types. It can't be a low-rank wave function because it has full rank. It can't be ortho-GHZ because – one can show – it has at least three distinct natural occupation numbers, whereas an ortho-GHZ wave function has only two. Finally, it can't be ortho-W because its hyperdeterminant equals $A^2C^2 \neq 0$, while the hyperdeterminant of an ortho-W wave function equals 0.

## V. "CIS" AND "CID" WAVE FUNCTIONS

For each three dimensional subspace $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$, the 20-dimensional space $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ equals the direct sum

$$\wedge^3\mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \oplus \wedge^3\mathcal{R}^\perp$$

of subspaces of dimension 1, 9, 9, and 1.

Suppose that $f_1, f_2, f_3$ and $g_1, g_2, g_3$ are orthonormal orbitals that span $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, respectively. The "reference configuration" $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ spans the 1-dimensional subspace $\wedge^3\mathcal{R}$ and $g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3$ spans $\wedge^3\mathcal{R}^\perp$. The 9-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$ is spanned by configurations like $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_2$ that are "singly-excited" from the "reference" configuration $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$. Similarly, the space $\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$ is spanned by configurations like $f_1 \wedge g_1 \wedge g_3$ that are "doubly-excited" from the reference configuration.

Wave functions in the subspace

$$\wedge^3 \mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \tag{14}$$

are linear combinations of the reference configuration $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ and all singly-excited configurations, like a variational wave function for the "CI singles" or "CIS" method.

Similarly, a wave function in the subspace

$$\wedge^3 \mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \tag{15}$$

is of a "CI doubles" or "CID" form, as it is a linear combination of the reference configuration and "double excitations" thereof.

**Definition 1.** *A wave function in $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is "CIS" if there exists a 3-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\psi$ lies in the subspace (14) of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. A wave function in $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is "CID" if there*

*exists a 3-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\psi$ lies in the subspace (15) of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. A wave function that belongs to (14) or (15) is said to be CIS or CID with "reference space" $\mathcal{R}$.*

In Corollary 5 we will prove that a wave function is CIS if and only if its hyperdeterminant equals 0. Using this fact, together with the canonical forms for CIS and CID wave functions described in the next section, one can prove that the intersection of the CIS and CID classes is the class of ortho-W wave functions.

### A. Canonical forms

Lemma 10 tells us the following:

Suppose that a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to a subspace $\wedge^3\mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \oplus \wedge^3\mathcal{R}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a 3-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^6$. Then there exist orthonormal bases $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, respectively, and coefficients $A, B_1, B_2, B_3, D$ such that $\psi$ has CI expansion

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|c} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & A \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & B_1 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & -B_2 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & B_3 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & D \end{array} \tag{16}$$

#### 1. Canonical form of CIS wave functions

A CIS wave function with reference space $\mathcal{R}$ can be represented by the first four rows of the configuration diagram (16). The phases of the reference orbitals can be adjusted and their order permuted to make $A \geq 0$ and $B_1 \geq B_2 \geq B_3 \geq 0$. Thus, a wave function $\psi$ is CIS if and only if there exist coefficients $A \geq 0, B_1 \geq B_2 \geq B_3 \geq 0$ and an orthonormal system of reference orbitals $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ such that $\psi$ has CI expansion

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|c} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & A \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & B_1 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & -B_2 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & B_3. \end{array} \tag{17}$$

We call (17) a "canonical" form because the coefficients are unique, provided that $\psi$ has full rank. That is, if $\psi$ is a rank-6 wave function with CI expansion (17), and if $\psi$ can also be written in the same form with respect to reference orbitals $f_1', \ldots, g_3'$ and coefficients $A' \geq 0$ and $B_1' \geq B_2' \geq B_3' > 0$, then $A' = A$ and $B_i' = B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This can be shown using formulas (45) - (47) in Ref. [5] for the algebraic invariants $M_2, M_3$, and $M_4$.

A low-rank wave function is a degenerate CIS wave function. Most low-rank wave functions have infinitely many CI expansions of the form (17). Lemma 6 shows that the natural occupation

numbers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq 1/2$ of a rank-5 wave function satisfy $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Let $\lambda$ denote the common value of $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_2$ and let $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$ and $\nu = \sqrt{1-\lambda}$. The same rank-5 wave function can be written in the form (17) in one way for each value of $A$ ranging from 0 up to $\sqrt{1-2\mu\nu}$. When $A = 0$, $B_1 = \mu$, $B_2 = \nu$, and $B_3 = 0$. At the other extreme, where $A = \sqrt{1-2\mu\nu}$, $B_1 = B_2 = \mu\nu$ and $B_3 = 0$.

### *2. Canonical form of CID wave functions*

A CID wave function with reference space $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ belongs to the subspace $\big(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\big) \oplus \wedge^3\mathcal{R}^\perp$ and therefore can be represented by the last four rows of the configuration diagram (16). Renaming the reference orbitals and adjusting their phases gives CID wave functions a canonical form: a wave function $\psi$ is CID if and only if there exists there exists an orthonormal system of reference orbitals $\{f_1, \ldots, f_6\}$ and coefficients $A_1 \geq A_2 \geq A_3 \geq A_4 \geq 0$ such that $\psi$ has CI expansion

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|l} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & A_1 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & A_2 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & A_3 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & A_4. \end{array} \tag{18}$$

The orbitals $f_1, \ldots, f_6$ in (18) are obviously natural orbitals of $\psi$. Therefore, for generic CID wave functions with distinct natural occupation numbers, the canonical expansion (18) is essentially unique, that is, the orbitals $f_i$ are unique up to multiplication by phase factors and the coefficients $A_i$ are unique. In any case, at least the coefficients are unique [5].

## VI. MAX-OVERLAP APPROXIMATIONS

Think of $\psi$ as a target for approximation by wave functions $\chi$, with the aim of maximizing the overlap-squared $\big|\langle\chi, \psi\rangle\big|^2$ over all $\chi$ of some prescribed type. For example, $\chi$ may be restricted to be a Slater determinant wave function, or it may be allowed to range over a more general class, such as all low-rank wave functions, or all CIS wave functions. A wave function [in class X] that maximizes the overlap with a target wave function will be called a "max-overlap [class X] approximation." To avoid the ambiguity associated to multiplication by a phase factor, we will always choose the phase of a max-overlap approximation such that its overlap with the target wave function is positive.

Note that max-overlap approximations need not be unique. For example, both $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ and $f_4 \wedge f_5 \wedge f_6$ are max-overlap Slater determinant approximations of the wave function

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_4 \wedge f_5 \wedge f_6,$$

and the wave function

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}} g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}} f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}} f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3$$

has infinitely many max-overlap Slater determinant approximations.

Max-overlap Slater determinant approximations for wave functions of $n$ fermions in $d$ orbitals are not easy to find in general. The best general algorithm we know of is iterative, and increases overlap with each iteration, but sometimes gets stuck at a local maximum [13]. Perhaps a better method could be developed specially for the Borland-Dennis setting. Indeed, an effective way to get the *value* of the maximum, though not the maximizers themselves, has been proposed in Ref. [5]. The maximum overlap-squared is one of the roots of an eighth degree polynomial which, printed out, fills four pages.

The simplest kind of max-overlap approximation is where $\chi$ is restricted to belong to a fixed linear subspace of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. Then the max-overlap approximation is proportional to the projection of the target wave function onto the subspace. This is a consequence of the following lemma:

**Lemma 11.** *Let $\mathfrak{H}_0$ be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $\psi$ be a vector in $\mathfrak{H}$ and let $\phi$ denote its projection onto $\mathfrak{H}_0$. Then*

$$\max\left\{\left|\langle\chi,\psi\rangle\right|^2 :\ \chi\in\mathfrak{H}_0, \|\chi\|=1\right\} \ =\ \|\phi\|^2\ . \tag{19}$$

*Unless $\psi$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{H}_0$, the maximum (19) is attained only at the unit vectors in $\mathfrak{H}_0$ that are proportional to $\phi$.*

*Proof.* Since $\phi$ is the projection of $\psi$ onto $\mathfrak{H}_0$, $\langle\chi,\psi\rangle = \langle\chi,\phi\rangle$ for any unit vector $\chi\in\mathfrak{H}_0$. Therefore,

$$\left|\langle\chi,\psi\rangle\right|^2 \ =\ \left|\langle\chi,\phi\rangle\right|^2 \ \le\ \|\chi\|^2\|\phi\|^2 \ =\ \|\phi\|^2$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality. In case $\phi$ is nonzero, equality holds if and only if $\chi$ and $\phi$ are parallel. □

Lemma 11 tells us that finding a max-overlap low-rank approximation of a target wave function $\psi$ is equivalent to finding a 5-dimensional linear subspace $\mathcal{M}$ such that the projection of $\psi$ onto the subspace $\wedge^3\mathcal{M}$ is as long as possible. These subspaces can all be found:

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that $\chi_*\in\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is a max-overlap low-rank approximation of a wave function $\psi$ with natural occupation numbers $\lambda_1\ge\lambda_2\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_6$. Then $\langle\chi_*,\psi\rangle^2=\lambda_1$. Moreover, there exist orthonormal orbitals $w$ and $w'$, and two bivectors $\gamma,\gamma'$ strongly orthogonal to them, such that*

*(i) $w$ and $w'$ are natural orbitals of $\psi$ with natural occupation numbers $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_6$, respectively,*

*(ii) $\psi = w\wedge\gamma + w'\wedge\gamma'$,*

*(iii) and $w\wedge\gamma = \langle\chi_*,\psi\rangle\ \chi_*$.*

*Proof.* Suppose $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap low-rank approximation of $\psi$. By Lemma 6, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{w,u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2,w'\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ and coefficients $A_1,A_2$ such that

$$\chi_* = w\wedge\left(A_1u_1\wedge v_1 + A_2u_2\wedge v_2\right)\ .$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$. There exist multivectors $\gamma, \gamma' \in \wedge^2\mathcal{L}$ and $\beta \in \wedge^3\mathcal{L}$, and an orbital $l \in \mathcal{L}$, such that

$$\psi \;=\; w \wedge \gamma \;+\; w' \wedge \gamma' \;+\; w \wedge w' \wedge l \;+\; \beta \;. \tag{20}$$

We will prove that the last two terms vanish.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{span}\{w\}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{span}\{w, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathrm{span}\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w'\}$. Then $\chi_*$ belongs to both $\wedge^3\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{W} \wedge \big(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M}'\big)$.

Since every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathcal{M}$ is low-rank, and $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap low-rank wave function, $\chi_*$ must be proportional to the projection of $\psi$ onto $\wedge^3\mathcal{M}$, by Lemma 11. This projection is $w \wedge \gamma + \beta$, from (20). But $\chi_* = w \wedge \big(A_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 u_2 \wedge v_2\big)$ is orthogonal to $\beta$. It follows that $\beta = 0$. Again, $\chi_* = w \wedge \big(A_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 u_2 \wedge v_2\big)$ is proportional to the projection of $\psi$ onto $\wedge^3\mathcal{M}$, which is just $w \wedge \gamma$. It follows that (iii) holds, and in fact $\gamma = \langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle\big(A_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + A_2 u_2 \wedge v_2\big)$.

Similarly, since every wave function in $\mathcal{W} \wedge \big(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M}'\big)$ is low-rank, $\chi_*$ must also be proportional to the projection of $\psi$ onto this subspace, which is $w \wedge \gamma + w \wedge w' \wedge l$. But $\chi_*$ is orthogonal to $w \wedge w' \wedge l$, so the latter trivector must also be 0. Thus $\psi$ is just as in (ii).

Let $\Gamma$ denote the 1RDM of $\psi$. The formula in (ii) shows that $\langle w, \Gamma w\rangle = \big|\langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle\big|^2$. This diagonal matrix element of $\Gamma$ is less than or equal to $\lambda_1$, the greatest eigenvalue of $\Gamma$, with equality if and only if $w$ is a corresponding eigenvector of $\Gamma$, i.e., a natural orbital of $\psi$ with occupation number $\lambda_1$. Since $\chi_*$ has maximum overlap with $\psi$ among all low-rank wave functions, $w$ has to be a natural orbital with occupation number $\lambda_1$, and $\langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle^2 = \lambda_1$. Since $w$ is a natural orbital, the off-diagonal matrix elements $\langle w, \Gamma w'\rangle$ and $\langle w', \Gamma w\rangle$ the 1RDM must vanish. Furthermore, it can be seen from formula (ii) for $\psi$ that the other off-diagonal matrix elements connecting $w'$ to $u_1, u_2, v_1$, and $v_2$ must also vanish, and that $\langle w', \Gamma w'\rangle = 1 - \lambda_1 = \lambda_6$. Thus $w'$ is a natural orbital with occupation number $\lambda_6$. This proves (i) and the fact that the maximum overlap equals $\lambda_1$. □

## VII. THE BORLAND-DENNIS THEOREM

In 1970 Borland and Dennis [3] published their observation that wave functions in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ can be expressed compactly in terms of their natural orbitals:

**Theorem 1.** *If $\psi$ is a wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$, then there exists an ordered orthonormal system $(h_1, \ldots, h_6)$ of its natural orbitals such that $\psi$ has CI expansion*

| $h_1$ | $h_2$ | $h_3$ | $h_4$ | $h_5$ | $h_6$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $A_{123}$ |
| X | X | O | X | O | O | $A_{124}$ |
| X | O | X | O | X | O | $A_{135}$ |
| X | O | O | X | X | O | $A_{145}$ |
| O | X | X | O | O | X | $A_{236}$ |
| O | X | O | X | O | X | $A_{246}$ |
| O | O | X | O | X | X | $A_{356}$ |
| O | O | O | X | X | X | $A_{456}$ |

(21)

Note that each of the $8 = 2^3$ configurations in (21) takes exactly one orbital from each of the three pairs $\{h_1, h_6\}$, $\{h_2, h_5\}$, and $\{h_3, h_4\}$. The fact that wave functions in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ have this form is a special case of a remarkable theorem in Ref. [25], which is worth repeating here. *Every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^d$ with $d \geq 6$ can be written in a "single-occupancy vector" form relative to a pairing of orbitals of $\mathbb{C}^d$, wherein configurations take at most one orbital from each pair.* In the case where $d = 6$ there are only three pairs of orbitals, and configurations must take *exactly* one orbital from each pair.

### A. Significance of the Borland-Dennis Theorem

Using Theorem 1, Borland and Dennis went on to characterize the set of 1-particle reduced density matrices (1RDMs) that can derived from 3-fermion wave functions of rank 6 or less [4]. This discovery of Borland and Dennis "stood for more than three decades as the only known solution of the $N$-representability problem beyond two electrons [or] two holes" [27]. Here is the result:

**Corollary 1.** *A $6 \times 6$ Hermitian matrix is the 1RDM of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ if and only if its eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ are all non-negative and, when ordered in descending order, satisfy*

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_6 = \lambda_2 + \lambda_5 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 1, \tag{22a}$$

$$\lambda_4 \;\leq\; \lambda_5 + \lambda_6. \tag{22b}$$

The equality constraints (22a) follow from the fact that each configuration in (21) contains either $h_1$ or $h_6$, but never both, and similarly for the other two pairs. The inequality constraint (22b) follows from orthogonality relations between the coefficients in (21) that hold because the reference orbitals are natural orbitals. We remark that, thanks to the 3-qubit correspondence mentioned in the introduction, the inequality constraint also follows from the more general "polygon inequalities" that characterize the reduced density matrices of pure $n$-qubit states [28].

A general solution of the $N$-representability problem for the 1RDM has since been achieved by Klyachko [29, 30]. For any number $N$ of fermions and any rank $R \geq N$, the spectra (ordered eigenvalue lists) of 1RDMs derived from $N$-fermion wave functions of rank $R$ or less form a convex polytope determined by finitely many inequality constraints. These inequalities are called "generalized Pauli constraints" [1] because they are stricter than the obvious constraints of the Pauli Exclusion Principle, namely, that orbital occupation numbers, and therefore in particular the "natural occupation numbers," which are the eigenvalues of the 1RDM, are all less than or equal to 1. The number of generalized Pauli constraints (GPCs) grows very quickly with $N$ and $R$, however, and full sets of constraints are known explicitly only for fairly small $N$ and $R$ [30]. Fortunately, the GPCs for the Borland-Dennis setting ($N = 3$ and $R = 6$) are not so numerous and indeed the polytope of spectra can be visualized in three dimensions [31, 32].

The GPCs are potentially of great significance for the study of electron structure, by virtue of what Klyachko has called the "selection rule" for "pinned states" [33]. When a many-fermion wave function "saturates" a GPC, that is, when it attains equality in the GPC inequality constraint, then all the configurations in its natural configuration interaction (CI) expansion conform individually

to the saturated constraint. The natural CI expansion of a pinned states is reduced by a sort of "selection rule" that eliminates configurations of natural orbitals that do not conform to the saturated GPC. A complete proof of the selection rule seems to have been published only very recently [34]; meanwhile, theorists have already begun to investigate its potential application to electronic structure theory [1, 2, 32, 35, 36].

Klyachko's selection rule implies the structure of the natural CI expansion for wave function in the Borland-Dennis setting. These wave functions saturate the GPCs $\lambda_i + \lambda_{7-i} \leq 1$. The selection rule implies that every configuration in the natural CI expansion of the wave function contains exactly one of the natural orbitals $h_i$ and $h_{7-i}$ (at least in the generic case where all six natural occupation numbers are distinct). This is precisely the structure of the natural CI expansion discovered by Borland and Dennis.

### B. 3-qubit subspaces

With the following definition, the Borland-Dennis Theorem may be stated quite succinctly: *Every wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to a 3-qubit subspace.*

**Definition 2.** *A subspace of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is a "3-qubit subspace" if it has the form $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3$ where $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$, and $\mathcal{Q}_3$ are three mutually orthogonal 2-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^6$.*

Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_6\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathrm{span}\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. A wave function belongs to the 3-qubit subspace $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3$ if and only if its CI expansion with respect to the reference orbitals $g_i$ only involves configurations that have exactly one orbital in each pair $\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The 3-qubit subspaces are the so-called "single-occupancy vector" subspaces in the Borland-Dennis setting [5, 25].

Theorem 1 tells us that any wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to the 3-qubit subspace

$$\mathrm{span}\{h_1, h_6\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{h_2, h_5\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{h_3, h_6\} \ ,$$

where $h_1, \ldots, h_6$ are certain natural orbitals of $\psi$. In fact, the theorem is equivalent to the assertion that every wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to *some* 3-qubit subspace, not necessarily one involving natural orbitals. Sufficiency of the latter condition is implied by the next lemma:

**Lemma 12.** *Suppose the wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to a 3-qubit subspace $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3$. Then there exists an orthonormal system $\{h_1, \ldots, h_6\}$ of natural orbitals such that $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathrm{span}\{h_i, h_{7-i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

*Proof.* Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_6\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathrm{span}\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the orbitals $f_i$ only involves configurations that have exactly one orbital in each pair $\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$. Because of this, the 1RDM of $\psi$, with respect to the ordered basis $(g_1, g_6, g_2, g_5, g_3, g_4)$, is block-diagonal with three $2 \times 2$ blocks. By diagonalizing these blocks one obtains an orthonormal system $\{h_1, \ldots, h_6\}$ of natural orbitals such that $\mathrm{span}\{h_i, h_{7-i}\} = \mathrm{span}\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$. □

Thus, every wave function in the Borland-Dennis space belongs to at least one 3-qubit subspace. Furthermore, Theorem 1 implies that a *generic* wave function in the Borland-Dennis space belongs to a *unique* 3-qubit subspace:

**Corollary 2.** *A wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ that has distinct natural occupation numbers $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_6$ belongs to a unique 3-qubit subspace.*

*Proof.* Let $u_1, \ldots, u_6$ denote natural orbitals of $\psi$ with natural occupation numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_6$. The natural orbitals $u_i$ are unique up to phase by the hypothesis that the natural occupation numbers of $\psi$ are distinct. Suppose that $\psi$ belongs to a 3-qubit subspace $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3$. We will prove that $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3$ has to be $\mathrm{span}\{u_1, u_6\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{u_2, u_5\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{u_3, u_4\}$.

Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_6\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathrm{span}\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. With respect to the ordered basis $(g_1, g_6, g_2, g_5, g_3, g_4)$, the 1RDM of $\psi$ is block-diagonal with three $2 \times 2$ blocks. As in the proof of Lemma 12, let $\{h_1, \ldots, h_6\}$ be an orthonormal system of natural orbitals such that $\mathrm{span}\{h_i, h_{7-i}\} = \mathrm{span}\{g_i, g_{7-i}\}$. Let $\mu_i$ denote the natural occupation number corresponding to $h_i$. The pair of natural orbitals that belong to $\mathcal{Q}_i$ is determined by the corresponding $2\times 2$ block of the 1RDM, for the trace of that block is 1 and its determinant takes one of the three distinct values $\lambda_j\lambda_{7-j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Thus $\{\mu_i, \mu_{7-i}\}$ must be one of the pairs $\{\lambda_j, \lambda_{7-j}\}$, and $\mathrm{span}\{h_i, h_{7-i}\} = \mathrm{span}\{u_j, u_{7-j}\}$. This implies that $\mathcal{Q}_1 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_2 \wedge \mathcal{Q}_3 = \wedge_{j=1}^3 \mathrm{span}\{u_j, u_{7-j}\}$. □

### C. Proofs of the Borland-Dennis Theorem

Borland and Dennis discovered Theorem 1 through numerical experiments. M.B. Ruskai and R.L. Kingsley reportedly proved it not long afterwards [4], but their proofs were not published at the time. Ruskai's proof was finally published in 2007 [37]. The theorem has been obtained as by-product of deeper theories: we have already mentioned that (1) it is a consequence of Klyachko's selection rule, and (2) it is the first special case of the more general theorem of Ref. [25] that all 3-fermion wave functions are "single-occupancy vectors."

We will present two elementary proofs of the Borland-Dennis Theorem, one here and the other in the appendix. The SVD Lemma is essential for both proofs. Both proofs arrive at the Borland-Dennis Theorem by building a 3-qubit subspace containing an arbitrary wave function. The proof given just below does this very elegantly, but in a nonconstructive way. The proof in the appendix resembles Ruskai's proof and is constructive: it tells you how to build a 3-qubit subspace starting from any natural orbital.

To prove the Borland-Dennis Theorem, it suffices, by Lemma 12, to prove that every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to some 3-qubit subspace. The following proposition exhibits such a 3-qubit subspace.

**Proposition 2.** *Let $\chi_*$ be a max-overlap CID approximation of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ with CI expansion (18). Then $\psi$ can be written as a linear combination of eight configurations in the max-overlap CID orbitals, namely, the four configurations in (18) and their duals, obtained by swapping X's and O's.*

*Proof.* Thanks to the symmetry of the form (18), any of its four configurations could serve as the reference configuration. That is, $\chi_*$ belongs to the CID subspace (15) with reference space $\mathcal{R}_{123} = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$; but it also belongs to the CID subpsace with reference space $\mathcal{R}_{156} = \text{span}\{f_1, f_5, f_6\}$, as well as the CID subspaces $\mathfrak{F}_{246}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{345}$, similarly defined.

Because $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CID approximation of $\psi$, it must be proportional to the projections of $\psi$ onto each of the subspaces $\mathfrak{F}_{123}, \mathfrak{F}_{156}, \mathfrak{F}_{246}$, and $\mathfrak{F}_{345}$. Therefore, the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the orbitals $f_1, \ldots, f_6$ cannot contain any double excitations of $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ or $f_1 \wedge f_5 \wedge f_6$ or $f_2 \wedge f_4 \wedge f_6$ or $f_3 \wedge f_4 \wedge f_5$ (besides the ones already in this list). For example, since $f_1 \wedge f_4 \wedge f_5$ is a double excitation from the reference $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ that does not already appear in (18), its coefficient in the CI expansion of $\psi$ must equal 0. Striking off all such configurations from the list of all 20 possible configurations leaves only the eight described in the statement of the theorem. □

Proposition 2 implies that any $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ belongs to the 3-qubit subspace

$$\text{span}\{f_1, f_4\} \wedge \text{span}\{f_2, f_5\} \wedge \text{span}\{f_3, f_6\}$$

where the orbitals $f_i$ are the reference orbitals for the canonical form (18) of $\chi_*$. Theorem 1 follows by Lemma 12.

## VIII. THE 5-TERM CANONICAL FORMS

### A. The symmetric five-term canonical form

Recall that orthonormal orbitals $f_1, f_2, f_3$ are called "best-overlap orbitals" [11] for a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ if $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ is a max-overlap Slater determinant approximation of $\psi$. In a CI expansion with respect to a reference basis that includes best-overlap orbitals, configurations that are singly excited from the reference configuration do not appear, cf., Lemma 1. Thanks to these "Brueckner conditions" [12, 38, 39] and a bit of algebra, one can show that any wave function in the Borland-Dennis space has a symmetric 5-term CI expansion.

**Theorem 2.** *Let $\psi$ be a wave function in the Borland-Dennis space and let $\chi_*$ be a Slater determinant such that*

$$\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle \;\geq\; \big|\langle \chi, \psi \rangle\big|$$

*for all other Slater determinants $\chi$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\chi_* = g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3$ and*

$$\psi \;=\; \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle \; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3 \;+\; A \; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_1 \; g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_2 \; f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; B_3 \; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3 \; .$$

*Proof.* Let $g'_1, g'_2$, and $g'_3$ be orthonormal orbitals such that $\chi_* = g'_1 \wedge g'_2 \wedge g'_3$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{R}^\perp = \text{span}\{g'_1, g'_2, g'_3\}$, and let $\{f'_1, f'_2, f'_3\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{R}$. By Lemma 1, the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the ordered basis $(f'_1, f'_2, f'_3, g'_1, g'_2, g'_3)$ lacks all nine configurations $f'_i \wedge g'_j \wedge g'_k$ that are singly-excited from $g'_1 \wedge g'_2 \wedge g'_3$. This means that $\psi$ belongs

to the subspace (11) of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$, and can therefore be written as in (12). The phases of the orbitals $g_i$ can be chosen *ad libitum* without changing the form of the CI expansion; let them be adjusted so that $g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3$ equals $\chi_*$. The coefficient $D$ in (12) is then equal to $\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$. □

Theorem 2 implies that every Borland-Dennis wave function $\psi$ is U(6)-equivalent to a wave function

$$a\, e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_5 \;+\; b\, e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_5 \;+\; c\, e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_6 \;+\; d\, e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_6 \;+\; z\, e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_5 \tag{23}$$

such that $a \geq b \geq c \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0$, and $d$ is equal to the maximum overlap of $\psi$ with a Slater determinant. Chen *et al.* [5] show that the standardized wave function in (23) can serve as a canonical form for wave functions in the Borland-Dennis setting. It is a "canonical" form in the sense that wave functions with different canonical forms are not equivalent, except perhaps when their representatives are on the boundary of the "canonical region." The canonical region is demarcated by a few polynomial inequalities in the coefficients $a, b, c, d$, and $z$.

### B. Lone-orbital expansions

In this section we construct the analog in the Borland-Dennis setting of the "generalized Schmidt decomposition" for 3-qubits [7, 26]. We call it the "lone-orbital" CI expansion because it features an solitary orbital that deigns to participate in at most one configuration.

**Theorem 3.** *Let $w_1$ and $w_2$ be two orthonormal orbitals in $\mathbb{C}^6$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the orthogonal complement of* $\mathrm{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$ *in $\mathbb{C}^6$. Suppose*

$$\psi \;=\; w_1 \wedge \gamma_1 \;+\; w_2 \wedge \gamma_2 \tag{24}$$

*is a wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ such that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \wedge^2\mathcal{M}$. Then there exists an orthonormal system of reference orbitals $(l_1, l_2, f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2)$ with respect to which $\psi$ has CI expansion*

| $l_1$ | $l_2$ | $f_1$ | $f_2$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | O | X | X | O | O | $A$ |
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $B_1$ |
| O | X | O | O | X | X | $B_2$ |
| O | X | X | O | X | O | $C_1$ |
| O | X | O | X | O | X | $C_2$ |

(25)

*and such that* $\mathrm{span}\{l_1, l_2\} = \mathrm{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$.

*Proof.* First suppose $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are linearly independent. Then, by Lemma 8, $\mathrm{span}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ contains a Slater determinant geminal $f_1' \wedge f_2'$, where $f_1'$ and $f_2'$ are orthonormal orbitals in $\mathcal{W}$.

Let $A_1, A_2$ be scalars such that $|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 = 1$ and $A_1\gamma_1 + A_2\gamma_2 = A f_1' \wedge f_2'$ with $A = \|A_1\gamma_1 + A_2\gamma_2\|$. Define orbitals $l_1$ and $l_2$ by the unitary transformation

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & -\overline{A}_2 \\ A_2 & \overline{A}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \;&=\; (A_1 l_1 - \overline{A}_2 l_2)\wedge\gamma_1 + (A_2 l_1 + \overline{A}_1 l_2)\wedge\gamma_2 \;&=\; l_1\wedge(A_1\gamma_1 + A_2\gamma_2) + l_2\wedge(\overline{A}_1\gamma_2 - \overline{A}_2\gamma_1)\\ &&=\; A\; l_1\wedge f_1'\wedge f_2' \;+\; l_2\wedge\gamma\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \overline{A}_1\gamma_2 - \overline{A}_2\gamma_1$.

The CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the ordered basis $(l_1, l_2, f_1', f_2', g_1', g_2')$ is then

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|c} l_1 & l_2 & f_1' & f_2' & g_1' & g_2' & \\ \hline \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & A \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & B_1 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & B_2 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & M_{11} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & M_{12} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & M_{21} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & M_{22} \end{array} \tag{26}$$

The sum of the last four lines of (26) is $l_2 \wedge \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} M_{ij}\; f_i'\wedge g_j'$. This can be reduced to a sum of two terms by using the singular value decomposition of the matrix $\mathbf{M} = (M_{ij})$. Let $\mathbf{U} = (U_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V_{ij})$ be unitary matrices such that $\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}$ is the $2\times 2$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $C_1$ and $C_2$. We may assume that $\det(\mathbf{U})$ and $\det(\mathbf{V})$ are both equal to 1 because we don't require $C_1$ and $C_2$ to be positive. Define new orbitals $f_1, f_2, g_1$, and $g_2$ by the unitary transformations

$$f_i = \sum_{k=1,2} \overline{U}_{ik} f_k' \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_j = \sum_{k=1,2} V_{jk} g_k' \tag{27}$$

Substituting (27) into (26) transforms the sum of its last four terms into $C_1\; l_2\wedge f_1\wedge g_1 + C_2\; l_2\wedge f_2\wedge g_2$ without changing the coefficients $A, B_1$, and $B_2$. The CI expansion (25) results. □

Any wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ can be written as in (24) by Proposition 1, or by the Borland-Dennis Theorem, and therefore:

**Corollary 3.** *Every wave function in $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ has CI expansions of the form (25).*

**Corollary 4.** *All six natural occupation numbers of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ equal $1/2$ if and only $\psi$ has a CI expansion of the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(u_1\wedge u_2\wedge u_3 \;+\; u_4\wedge u_5\wedge u_6\big)$.*

*Proof.* The sufficiency of the condition is clear; we prove its necessity.

Let (25) be a lone-orbital CI expansion of $\psi$. Since all six natural occupation numbers of $\psi$ are equal, the 1RDM of $\psi$ is proportional to the $6\times 6$ identity matrix, and therefore *every* orbital in $\mathbb{C}^6$ is a natural orbital with occupation number $1/2$. Since $l_1$ has occupation number $1/2$, it must be that $|A|^2 = 1/2$. But then, since $f_1$ and $f_2$ also have occupation number $1/2$, they cannot appear in any other configurations. That leaves two configurations in the lone-orbital CI expansion. Renaming the reference orbitals and adjusting their phases yields the stated form for $\psi$. □

**Corollary 5.** *A wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ is CIS if and only if its hyperdeterminant equals 0.*

*Proof.* The hyperdeterminant of a CIS wave function in its canonical form (17) can be directly computed from its coefficients (cf., eg., formula (32) in Ref. [5]) and it equals 0. Conversely, let $\psi$ be a wave function whose hyperdeterminant equals 0, and let (25) be any lone orbital CI expansion of $\psi$. In terms of the coefficients in (25), the hyperdeterminant is simply $A^2B_2^2$. This equals 0 if and only if $A = 0$ or $B_2 = 0$. If $B_2 = 0$, then $\psi$ is manifestly of CIS form with reference space $\mathcal{R} = \text{span}\{l_2, f_1, f_2\}$. If $A = 0$, then $\psi$ is low-rank and is CIS *a fortiori*. □

## IX. 5-TERM EXPANSIONS BASED ON MAX-OVERLAP CIS APPROXIMATIONS

Theorem 2 states that, given any max-overlap Slater determinant approximation $\chi_*$ of a target wave function, the target has a 5-term CI expansion whose leading configuration is $\chi_*$. In this section we prove a similar theorem for max-overlap CIS approximations: if $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of a target wave function $\psi$, then there exists $D \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\psi \;=\; \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle \; \chi_* \;+\; D \; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3 \;,$$

where the orbitals $g_i$ are the ones in the canonical form (17) of $\chi_*$.

**Theorem 4.** *Let $\chi_* \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ be a CIS wave function with CI expansion (17). If $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$, then $\psi$ has CI expansion*

| $f_1$ | $f_2$ | $f_3$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | $g_3$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | O | O | O | $sA$ |
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $sB_1$ |
| X | O | X | O | X | O | $-sB_2$ |
| X | X | O | O | O | X | $sB_3$ |
| O | O | O | X | X | X | $D$ |

(28)

*where $s = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$. If $\psi$ is of full rank then so is $\chi_*$.*

The 5-term CI expansion (28) has the same shape as the "canonical form" based on max-overlap Slater determinant approximations. Accordingly, many of the techniques developed in Ref. [5] are also useful for studying max-overlap CIS approximations. In particular, the algorithm described there for computing the maximum overlap with a Slater determinant can be adapted to the problem of computing the maximum overlap with a CIS wave function. The adapted algorithm should also yield the coefficients $A, B_1, B_2, B_3$ of the canonical forms of all the max-overlap CIS approximations.

### A. Proof of the main theorem

This section is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 4. The expansion (28) will be established first for the case where $\chi_*$ is of full rank; this is Lemma 14. We will then prove that, when $\chi_*$ is not of full rank, then $\chi_*$ equals $\psi$. This implies that $\psi$ is of full rank if and only if $\chi_*$ is.

**Lemma 13.** *Let $\chi_* \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ be a CIS wave function with CI expansion (17). If $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$, then $\psi$ has CI expansion*

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|r} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & sA \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & sB_1 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & -sB_2 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & sB_3 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & C_3 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & -C_2 \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & C_1 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & D \end{array} \tag{29}$$

*where $s = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$.*

*Proof.* $\chi_*$ is CIS with reference space $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$. Since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of $\psi$, it must have maximum overlap with $\psi$ among all wave functions in the subspace $\wedge^3\mathcal{R} \oplus (\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp)$ and, by Lemma 11, it must be proportional to the projection of $\psi$ onto this subspace. It follows that the CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to the reference orbitals $f_1, f_2, \ldots, g_3$ is given by the sum of the first four rows of the configuration diagram (29). The coefficients of all other single excitations of the reference configuration $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3$ all equal 0.

Now consider the double excitations of the reference configuration. There are nine of them. Three of them appear in the CI expansion (29) with coefficients $C_1, C_2$, and $C_3$; we have to prove that the coefficients of the other six configurations are 0. The absent configurations are those of the form $f_i \wedge g_j \wedge g_k$ with $i \in \{j, k\} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j < k$.

For the sake of concreteness but without loss of generality, we will work on $f_1 \wedge g_1 \wedge g_2$. The five-dimensional subspace of $\wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$ spanned by $f_1 \wedge g_1 \wedge g_2$ together with the four configurations in (17) consists entirely of CIS wave functions, for the hyperdeterminant of any such wave function equals 0 by formula (14) in Ref. [19]. Therefore the projection of $\psi$ onto this subspace is proportional to $\chi_*$, and the coefficient of $f_1 \wedge g_1 \wedge g_2$ in the CI expansion of $\psi$ must equal 0. Similar arguments show that the coefficients of the other five double excitations of this kind also vanish, and therefore the CI expansion of $\psi$ is as in (29). □

**Lemma 14.** *Let $\chi_*$ be a max-overlap CIS approximation of a wave function $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathbb{C}^6$. Suppose that $\chi_*$ is of full rank and has canonical CI expansion (17). Then the coefficients $C_1, C_2$, and $C_3$ in the CI expansion (29) of $\psi$ all equal* 0.

*Proof.* Since $\chi_*$ is of full rank, the coefficients $B_1, B_2$, and $B_3$ in its canonical CI expansion (17) are all positive. Let $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ denote $f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3$ and $g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3$, respectively. Let

$$\varphi_1' = \frac{B_1C_2 + B_2C_1}{B_1^2 + B_2^2}(B_2\varphi_1 + B_1\varphi_2)$$
$$\varphi_2' = \frac{B_1C_1 - B_2C_2}{B_1^2 + B_2^2}(B_1\varphi_1 - B_2\varphi_2).$$

The trivectors $\varphi_1'$ and $\varphi_2'$ are orthogonal and $C_1\varphi_1 + C_2\varphi_2 = \varphi_1' + \varphi_2'$. Referring to the configuration diagram (29) for $\psi$, one can see that

$$\psi \;=\; (s\chi_* + \varphi_1') \;+\; (\varphi_2' \;+\; C_3\; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; D\; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3)\;.$$

The two grouped expressions on the right-hand side of this equation represent orthogonal trivectors. The first grouped term, namely, $s\chi_* + \varphi_1'$ has hyperdeterminant 0, as can be seen by formula (37) in Ref. [19]. It is therefore CIS. Since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of $\psi$ by assumption, and since $\varphi_1'$ is orthogonal to $\chi_*$, it follows that $\varphi_1' = 0$, whence $B_1C_2 = -B_2C_1$. Similar arguments show that $B_2C_3 = -B_3C_2$ and $B_3C_1 = -B_1C_3$. As we are assuming that $B_1B_2B_3 \neq 0$, the three preceding equations imply that $C_1, C_2$, and $C_3$ are all 0. □

We now complete the proof of Theorem 4. The conclusion has already been established in Lemma 14 for the case where $\chi_*$ is of full rank. The rest of this proof is devoted to the case where $\chi_*$ is low-rank. We will show in these cases that $\chi_* = \psi$, so that $\psi$ has the CI expansion (28) with $s = 1, D = 0$. The fact that $\chi_* = \psi$ if $\chi_*$ is low-rank implies the final assertion of the theorem.

Suppose $\chi_*$ has rank 3, i.e., that $\chi_*$ is a Slater determinant. Then $\chi_*$ can be written as in (17) with $A = 1$ and $B_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Lemma 13, the target wave function $\psi$ has CI expansion (29). Since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of $\psi$, it is also a max-overlap low-rank approximation. This implies that each of the coefficients $C_i$ in (29) must equal 0, and only the coefficients $sA = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$ and $D$ remain. Without changing notation, let the phase of $g_1$ be adjusted so that

$$\psi \;=\; S\; f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \;+\; T\; g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3 \tag{30}$$

where $S = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle > 0$ and $T = |D| \geq 0$. The tandem rotations

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_i(t) \\ g_i(t) \end{bmatrix} \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} T & -S \\ S & T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_i' \\ g_i' \end{bmatrix} \tag{31}$$

$i = 1, 2, 3$ convert (30) to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|l} f_1' & f_2' & f_3' & g_1' & g_2' & g_3' & \\ \hline \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & ST \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & ST \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & ST \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & ST \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & S^2 - T^2\;. \end{array} \tag{32}$$

The sum of the last four rows in (32), renormalized, is a CIS wave function whose overlap-squared with $\psi$ is $1 - (ST)^2$. But $1 - (ST)^2 \geq S^2 = \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle^2$ with equality only if $S = 1, T = 0$. As $\chi_*$ is assumed to be a max-overlap CIS approximation of $\psi$, necessarily $S = 1$ and $T = 0$, which means that $\psi$ is a Slater determinant and therefore $\chi_* = \psi$.

Next, suppose that $\chi_*$ has rank 5. As mentioned in Section V A, most rank-5 wave functions can be written in the form (17) with $A, B_1, B_2 > 0$ and $B_3 = 0$. The only exceptions are rank-5 wave functions that are equivalent to

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_1 \wedge \big(e_2 \wedge e_3 + e_4 \wedge e_5\big). \tag{33}$$

Suppose first that $\chi_*$ is as in (17) with $A, B_1, B_2 > 0$ and $B_3 = 0$. By Lemma 13, the target wave function $\psi$ has CI expansion (29). The coefficient $C_3$ in (29) must be 0 or else $\psi$ would have a better low-rank approximation — and hence a better CIS approximation — than $\chi_*$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 14, one can show that $AC_1 = -B_1 D$, $B_1 C_2 = -B_2 C_1$, and $B_2 D = -AC_2$. As $A, B_1, B_2 > 0$, these three equations imply that $C_1 = C_2 = D = 0$. Thus, $\psi$ has CI expansion (28) with $s = 1$. In other words, $\psi$ is itself of rank 5, and therefore $\chi_* = \psi$.

Finally, suppose that $\chi_*$ is equivalent to (33). Then $\chi_*$ can be written as in (17) and the target wave function $\psi$ can be written as in (29) with $A = 0, B_3 = 0$, and $B_1 = B_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. The coefficients $C_3$ and $D$ in (29) must also equal 0, otherwise $\psi$ would have a strictly better CIS approximation with reference space $\mathrm{span}\{f_3, g_1, g_2\}$. Moreover, since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation of rank-5, it is also a max-overlap low-rank approximation, and therefore, by Proposition 1, $f_3$ and $g_3$ are natural orbitals of $\psi$. This implies that $\psi$ has CI expansion

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|r} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & B \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & -B \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & C \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & C \end{array} \tag{34}$$

where $B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle$ and $C$ is such that $B^2 + |C|^2 = 1/2$. The tandem rotations (31) for $i = 1, 2$ with $S = T = 1/\sqrt{2}$ transform the CI expansion (34) to

$$\begin{array}{cccccc|r} f_1' & f_2' & f_3 & g_1' & g_2' & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & B \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & -B \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & C \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & C \end{array} \tag{35}$$

The sum of the first three rows of (35) is proportional to a CIS wave function with reference space $\mathrm{span}\{f_2', f_3, g_1'\}$ whose overlap-squared with $\psi$ is $2B^2 + |C|^2$. This is strictly greater than $\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle^2 = 2B^2$ unless $C = 0$. Since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap CIS approximation, $C$ must equal 0 in (34), which implies that $\psi$ has rank 5 and therefore $\chi_* = \psi$.

### B. Analog of the CIS expansion in the 3-qubit setting

In this section we prove the analog of Theorem 4 for max-overlap "Type 4a" approximations in the 3-qubit setting.

A wave function in the 3-qubit space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is a "Type 4a state" [7, 26] if it can be written in the form

$$A\ f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_1\ g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_2\ f_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_3\ f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3$$

where $\{f_1, g_1\}, \{f_2, g_2\}$, and $\{f_3, g_3\}$ are three orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^2$. In other words, a 3-qubit wave function is a Type 4a state if it is locally unitarily equivalent to $A|000\rangle + B_1|100\rangle + B_2|010\rangle + B_3|001\rangle$. We include all degenerate forms in Type 4a, allowing one or more of the coefficients to be 0.

A 3-qubit wave function is a Type 4a state if and only if its hyperdeterminant equals 0 [7]. The set of Type 4a states is the complement of the generic entanglement class, i.e., it is the set of 3-qubit wave functions that *cannot* be written in the form $A\ v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3\ +\ B\ v_4 \otimes v_5 \otimes v_6$ with $\{v_1, \ldots, v_6\}$ linearly independent and both $A, B \neq 0$ [7].

**Theorem 5.** *Let $\{f_1, g_1\}, \{f_2, g_2\}$, and $\{f_3, g_3\}$ be three orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and let*

$$\chi_* \ =\ A f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_1 g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_2 f_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_3 f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3 \tag{36}$$

*be a Type 4a wave function in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. If $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap Type 4a approximation of a 3-qubit wave function $\psi$, then*

$$\psi\ =\ \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle\ \chi_*\ +\ C\ g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes g_3$$

*for some coefficient $C$ such that $|C|^2 = 1 - \big|\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle\big|^2$.*

*Proof.* One way to prove this is to use the hyperdeterminant invariant and mimic the proof of Lemma 14. Instead, we will use the a different technique, which could indeed also be used to prove Lemma 14.

Recall that the phase of the max-overlap approximation $\chi_*$ is such that $\langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle > 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \text{span}\big\{f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3,\ g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3,\ f_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3,\ f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3\big\}$. Since $\chi_*$ is a max-overlap Type 4a approximation of $\psi$, it must be proportional to the projection of $\psi$ onto the subspace $\mathfrak{F}$, so that

$$\psi\ =\ \langle \chi_*, \psi \rangle\ \chi_*\ +\ C\ g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes g_3\ +\ D_1\ f_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes g_3\ +\ D_2\ g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3\ +\ D_3\ g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3\ . \tag{37}$$

Assuming first that $B_1 B_2 B_3 \neq 0$, we will show that the three coefficients $D_i$ all equal 0. To do this we shall use stationarity conditions derived from the three rotations

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_i(t) \\ g_i(t) \end{bmatrix}\ =\ \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t) & -e^{i\theta}\sin(t) \\ e^{-i\theta}\sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_i \\ g_i \end{bmatrix}\ .$$

The trajectory

$$\chi(t)\ =\ A\ f_1(t) \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_1\ g_1(t) \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_2\ f_1(t) \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3\ +\ B_3 f_1(t) \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3$$

lies within the set of Type 4a wave functions and equals $\chi_*$ when $t = 0$. Since $\chi_*$ is a maximizer of the functional $\chi \longmapsto \big|\langle \chi, \psi\rangle\big|$ on the set of Type 4a wave functions, and since $\langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle > 0$, the real part $\mathrm{Re}\langle \chi(t), \psi\rangle$ must attain its maximum at $t = 0$, because

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle \chi(t), \psi\rangle \;\le\; \big|\langle \chi(t), \psi\rangle\big| \;\le\; \big|\langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle\big| \;=\; \mathrm{Re}\langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle \;=\; \mathrm{Re}\langle \chi(0), \psi\rangle.$$

The maximizer $\chi_*$ must therefore satisfy the following stationarity condition:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Re}\langle \chi(t), \psi\rangle\Big|_{t=0} \;=\; \mathrm{Re}\langle \dot\chi(0), \psi\rangle \;=\; 0 \tag{38}$$

where $\dot\chi(0)$ denotes $\frac{d}{dt}\chi(t)\big|_{t=0}$. Since

$$\dot\chi(0) \;=\; e^{-i\theta}B_1 f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3 \;-\; e^{i\theta}(Ag_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3 \;+\; B_2 g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3 \;+\; B_3 g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3)\;,$$

the stationarity condition (38) implies that

$$B_1\langle \psi, f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3\rangle \;=\; \overline{A}\langle g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3, \psi\rangle \;+\; \overline{B}_2\langle g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes f_3, \psi\rangle \;+\; \overline{B}_3\langle g_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes g_3, \psi\rangle.$$

Using (37) and (36) we find that $B_1\langle \psi, \chi_*\rangle\overline{A} \;=\; \langle \chi_*, \psi\rangle\big(\overline{A}B_1 \,+\, \overline{B}_2 D_3 \,+\, \overline{B}_3 D_2\big)$. Since $\langle \psi, \chi_*\rangle > 0$ is real, $\overline{B}_2 D_3 = -\overline{B}_3 D_2$. Similarly $\overline{B}_1 D_2 = -\overline{B}_2 D_1$ and $\overline{B}_3 D_1 = -\overline{B}_1 D_3$. As we are assuming that $B_1 B_2 B_3 \neq 0$, the three preceding equations imply that $D_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The degenerate cases, where one or more of the coefficients $B_i$ are 0, can be handled exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4, thanks to the 3-qubit correspondence. □

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, project SFB F41 (VICOM) and project I830-N13 (LODIQUAS).

[1] C. Schilling, D. Gross, and M. Christandl, "Pinning of fermionic occupation numbers," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 040404 (2013).

[2] C. Schilling, "Quasipinning and its relevance for N-fermion quantum states," Phys. Rev. A **91**, 022105 (2015).

[3] R. E. Borland and K. Dennis, "A simple approximation beyond Hartree-Fock," J. Phys. B **3**, 887 (1970).

[4] R. E. Borland and K. Dennis, "The conditions on the one-matrix for three-body fermion wavefunctions with one-rank equal to six," J. Phys. B **5**, 7 (1972).

[5] L. Chen, D. Z. Đoković, M. Grassl, and B. Zeng, "Canonical form of three-fermion pure-states with six single particle states," J. Math. Phys. **55**, 082203 (2014).

[6] H. A. Carteret, A. Higuchi, and A. Sudbery, "Multipartite generalisation of the Schmidt decomposition," J. Math. Phys. **41**, 7932 (2000).

[7] A. Acín, A. Andrianov, E. Jané, and R. Tarrach, "Three-qubit pure-state canonical forms," J. Phys. A **34**, 6725 (2001).

[8] P. Cassam-Chenaï, "Variational spaces of electronic calculations in quantum chemistry," J. Math. Chem. **15**, 303 - 321 (1994).

[9] P. Cassam-Chenaï and F. Petras, "The Hopf algebra of identical, fermionic particle systems. Fundamental concepts and properties," J. Math. Phys. **44**, 4884 - 4906 (2003).

[10] A. J. Coleman and V. I. Yukalov, *Reduced Density Matrices: Coulson's Challenge* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2000).

[11] W. Kutzelnigg and V.H. Smith, Jr., "On different criteria for the best independent-particle model approximation," J. Chem. Phys. **41**, 896 (1964).

[12] R. K. Nesbet, "Brueckner's theory and the method of superposition of configurations," Phys. Rev. **109**, 1632 - 38 (1958).

[13] J. M. Zhang and M. Kollar, "Optimal multiconfiguration approximation of an N-fermion wave function," Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012504 (2014).

[14] P.-O. Löwdin and H. Shull, "Natural orbitals in the quantum theory of two-electron systems," Phys. Rev. **101**, 1730 - 1739 (1956).

[15] A. J. Coleman, "Structure of fermion density matrices," Rev. Mod. Phys. **35**, 668 (1963).

[16] R. Paškauskas and L. You, "Quantum correlations in two-boson wave functions," Phys. Rev. A **64**, 042310 (2001).

[17] I. Mayer, "Using singular value decomposition for a compact presentation and improved interpretation of the CIS wave functions," Chem. Phys. Lett. **437**, 284 - 286 (2007).

[18] Proposition 4(ii) in Ref. [5]. The hypothesis stated there, that $M_5$ must also vanish, appears to be superfluous.

[19] P. Lévay and P. Vrana, "Three fermions with six single-particle states can be entangled in two inequivalent ways," Phys. Rev. A **78**, 022329 (2008).

[20] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, "Distributed entanglement," Phys. Rev. A, **61**, 052306 (2000).

[21] G. Sárosi and P. Lévay, "Entanglement classification of three fermions with up to nine single-particle states," Phys. Rev. A **89**, 042310 (2014).

[22] G. Sárosi and P. Lévay, "Coffman-Kundu-Wootters inequality for fermions," Phys. Rev. A **90**, 052303 (2014).

[23] A. Sudbery, "On local invariants of pure three-qubit states," J. Phys. A **34**, 643-652 (2001).

[24] W. Reichel, "Uber die trilinearen alternierenden Formen in 6 and 7 Veränderlichen," Dissertation, Greifswald, 1907. Cited in the last section of the monograph *Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants*, by G. B. Gurevich, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1964.

[25] L. Chen, J. Chen, D. Z. Đoković, and B. Zeng, "Universal subspaces for local unitary groups of fermionic systems," Commun. Math. Phys. **333**, 541 (2015).

[26] A. Acín, A. Andrianov, L. Costa, E. Jané, J.I. Latorre, and R. Tarrach, "Generalized Schmidt decomposition and classification of three-quantum-bit states," Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1560 (2000).

[27] M. Altunbulak and A. A. Klyachko, "The Pauli Principle revisited," Commun. Math. Phys. **282**, 287 (2008).

[28] A. Higuchi, A. Sudbery, and J. Szulc, "One-qubit reduced states of a pure many-qubit state: polygon inequalities," Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 107902 (2003).

[29] A. Klyachko, "Quantum marginal problem and representations of the symmetric group," arXiv:quant-ph/0409113v1 (2004).

[30] A. A. Klyachko, "Quantum marginal problem and N-representability," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **36** 72-86 (2006).

[31] In Supplementary Materials for "Entanglement polytopes: multiparticle entanglement from single-particle information," M. Walter, B. Doran, D. Gross, M. Christandl, Science **340**, 1205-1208 (2013).

[32] R. Chakraborty and D. A. Mazziotti, "Structure of the one-electron reduced density matrix from the

generalized Pauli Exclusion Principle," Int. J. Quantum Chem. **115**, 1305-1310 (2015).

[33] A. A. Klyachko, "The Pauli Exclusion Principle and beyond," arXiv:0904.2009v1 [quant-ph] (2009).

[34] M. Walter, "Multipartite quantum states and their marginals," Ph.D. Dissertation: arXiv:1410.6820v1 [quant-ph] (2014).

[35] C. L. Benavides-Riveros, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, and M. Springborg, "Quasi-pinning and entanglement in the lithium isoelectronic series," Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022508 (2013).

[36] C. L. Benavides-Riveros and M. Springborg, "Quasipinning and selection rules for excitations in atoms and molecules," Phys. Rev. A **92**, 012512 (2015).

[37] M. B. Ruskai, "Connecting N-representability to Weyl's problem: the one-particle density matrix for N = 3 and R =6," J. Phys. A **40**, F961 (2007).

[38] S. Larsson, "Brueckner-Hartree-Fock method for finite electronic systems," J. Chem. Phys. **58**, 5049 - 5057 (1973).

[39] J. V. Ortiz, "Brueckner orbitals, Dyson orbitals, and correlation potentials," Int. J. Quant. Chem. **100**, 1131- 1135 (2004).

**Appendix: another proof of the Borland-Dennis Theorem**

Let $h$ be any natural orbital of $\psi$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the 5-dimensional orthogonal complement of span$\{h\}$. Then $\psi$ can be written as $h \wedge \gamma + \phi$, where $\gamma \in \wedge^2\mathcal{M}$ and $\phi \in \wedge^3\mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 5 there exist orthonormal orbitals $f_1', f_2', g_1', g_2' \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\gamma = A_1\ f_1' \wedge f_2' + A_2\ g_1' \wedge g_2'$.

We shall prove the theorem separately in three cases, according to the rank of $\gamma$. These cases are, namely, where (1) both $A_1$ and $A_2$ are nonzero, (2) only one of $A_1, A_2$ is nonzero, (3) both $A_1$ and $A_2$ equal 0.

Case (1):

Let $k$ be an orbital such that $(h, k, f_1', f_2', g_1', g_2')$ is an ordered orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$. The CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to this basis is as shown in the following configuration diagram, whose terms have been arranged into four blocks for convenience:

| | $h$ | $k$ | $f_1'$ | $f_2'$ | $g_1'$ | $g_2'$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | X | O | X | X | O | O | $A_1$ |
| (i) | X | O | O | O | X | X | $A_2$ |
| | O | X | X | X | O | O | $B_1$ |
| (ii) | O | X | O | O | X | X | $B_2$ |
| | O | X | X | O | X | O | $M_{11}$ |
| | O | X | X | O | O | X | $M_{12}$ |
| | O | X | O | X | X | O | $M_{21}$ |
| (iii) | O | X | O | X | O | X | $M_{22}$ |
| | O | O | X | X | X | O | $D_1$ |
| | O | O | X | X | O | X | $D_2$ |
| | O | O | X | O | X | X | $D_3$ |
| (iv) | O | O | O | X | X | X | $D_4$ |

Let $\Gamma$ denote the 1RDM of $\psi$. Since $h$ is a natural orbital of $\psi$, the off-diagonal matrix elements of $\Gamma$ that connect $h$ to any other orbitals must all vanish. The only way this can happen is if $D_i = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. For example, the matrix element $\langle h, \Gamma f_1' \rangle = \overline{A}_2 D_3$ must vanish; since $A_2 \neq 0$, it must be that $D_3 = 0$. Thus, all coefficients in block (iv) equal 0.

The sum of terms in block (iii) is $k \wedge \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} M_{ij}\ f_i' \wedge g_j'$. This can be reduced to a sum of two terms by using the same SVD technique that we used to complete the proof of Theorem 3. The transformations (27) result in the CI expansion

| $h$ | $k$ | $f_1$ | $f_2$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | O | X | X | O | O | $A_1$ |
| X | O | O | O | X | X | $A_2$ |
| O | X | X | X | O | O | $B_1$ |
| O | X | O | O | X | X | $B_2$ |
| O | X | X | O | X | O | $C_1$ |
| O | X | O | X | O | X | $C_2$ |

(39)

for $\psi$ with respect to the ordered basis $(h, k, f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2)$. This CI expansion shows that $\psi$ belongs to the 3-qubit subspace $\mathrm{span}\{h, k\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{f_1, g_2\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{f_2, g_1\}$, and the conclusion of Theorem 1 for this $\psi$ holds by Lemma 12.

Case (2):

In this case, there exist orthonormal orbitals $f_1', f_2', \in \mathcal{M}$, a trivector $\phi \in \wedge^3\mathcal{M}$, and a coefficient $A$ such that $\psi = A\ h \wedge f_1' \wedge f_2' \ + \ \phi$. Let $g_1', g_2', g_3'$ be orbitals such that $\{f_1', f_2', g_1', g_2', g_3'\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{span}\{g_1', g_2', g_3'\}$ and let $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ denote $\mathrm{span}\{f_1', f_2'\}$, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Since

$$\wedge^3\mathcal{M} \ = \ \wedge^3\mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right) ,$$

the trivector $\phi \in \wedge^3\mathcal{M}$ can be written as a linear combination of the reference configuration $g_1' \wedge g_2' \wedge g_3'$ and configurations that are single and double excitations of the reference. That is, $\phi = \varphi_{CIS} \ + \ \varphi_D$ where $\varphi_{CIS} \in \wedge^3\mathcal{R} \oplus \left(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp\right)$ and $\varphi_D \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp \wedge \mathcal{R}^\perp$. By Lemma 10, there exist orthonormal bases $\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ and $\{f_1, f_2\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, respectively, and coefficients $B_1, B_2, C$ such that

$$\varphi_{CIS} \ = \ B_1 f_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3 \ + \ B_2 g_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_3 \ + \ C g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge g_3$$

Since $\mathcal{R}^\perp = \mathrm{span}\{f_1, f_2\}$ is only 2-dimensional, there are coefficients $D_1, D_2, D_3$ such that $\varphi_D = \sum_{i=1}^{3} D_i\ f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge g_i$. The CI expansion of $\psi$ with respect to $(h, f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, g_3)$ is

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} h & f_1 & f_2 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & A \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & B_1 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & B_2 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & C \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & D_1 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & D_2 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{X} & D_3 \end{array} \tag{40}$$

Let $\Gamma$ denote the 1RDM of $\psi$. Since $h$ is a natural orbital of $\psi$, the three matrix elements $\langle h, \Gamma g_i\rangle = \overline{A} D_i$ must equal 0. There remain only four terms in the CI expansion (40) of $\psi$, examination of which shows that $\psi$ belongs to the 3-qubit subspace $\mathrm{span}\{h, g_3\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{f_1, g_1\} \wedge \mathrm{span}\{f_2, g_2\}$. The conclusion of Theorem 1 holds by Lemma 12.

Case (3):

Since $A_1$ and $A_2$ both equal 0, $h$ has natural occupation number 0 and $\psi \in \wedge^3\mathcal{M}$ has rank 5. The canonical form of a rank-5 wave function given in Lemma 6 is itself a natural CI expansion of the form (21).